

Assessment rubric

Criterion	Description	Scoring
Originality and significance of the project	The project's research question and objectives are clearly presented in respect to the full range of a discipline's diverse research practices and norms. Rationale for research approach and methodology are clearly outlined. Originality and significance for the discipline and beyond (if applicable) is addressed. Relevance to a future Tri-Agency application is clear (for tenure-track).	1-5 1 - unsatisfactory 2 – moderate 3 – strong 4 – very strong 5 - excellent
Need for funding	The applicant provides a clear rationale for the need for funding. The committee may use CVs to evaluate the need for funding, alternative career pathways, leaves, and career delays.	Yes/no
Feasibility	Appropriateness of budget, proposed timeline, and scope of the project vis-à-vis project's objectives.	1-5 1 - unsatisfactory 2 – moderate 3 – strong 4 – very strong 5 – excellent